

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,322	<u> </u>	06/15/2000	Antonio Nevarez	9049.00	8854
26890	7590	06/29/2004		EXAM	IINER
JAMES N			BACKER, FIRMIN		
NCR COR		ATTERSON BLVD, '	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479				3621	
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/594,322	NEVAREZ, ANTONIO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Firmin Backer	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	<u>lune 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/594,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takashahi et al (U.S. PG Pub No. 2002/009581) in view of Choy (U.S. Patent No. 6,141,754).
- 4. As per claim 1, 25 and 26, Takashahi et al teaches a method for use in improving profitability of one or more business entities (see abstract, paragraphs 0008), comprising receiving business-related data from at least two unrelated business entities wherein the data describes on or more aspects of the operation of each of the business entity (see abstract, paragraphs 0008), storing at least some of the data from each of the business entities in a

Art Unit: 3621

common database (see abstract, paragraphs 0008, 0034, 0037). Takashahi et al fails to teach an inventive concept that is in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business

entities, allowing at least one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the

common database. However, Choy teaches inventive concept that is in accordance with the terms

of an agreement among the business entities, allowing at least one of the business entities to

receive information gathered from the common database (see abstract, fig 1, 7 lines 5-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to modify Takashahi et al inventive concept to include Choy's inventive concept that

is in accordance with the terms of an agreement among the business entities, allowing at least

one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database because

this would have protected information entity based on the protection specification and the access

control manager, and checks whether the requested access meets conditions determined based on

the protection specification and enforced by the enhanced access control manager.

5. As per claim 2, Takashahi et al teaches a method where allowing one of the business

entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering at least

some of the information from data received from a business entity other than the one receiving

the information (see paragraphs 0008, 0034).

6. As per claim 3, Takashahi et al teaches a method where allowing one of the business

entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes granting that

Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

business entity permission to gather the information directly from the common database (see

Page 4

paragraph 0046, 0049).

7. As per claim 4, Takashahi et al teaches a method where allowing one of the business entities to receive information gathered from the common database includes gathering the information on behalf of that business entity and then delivering the information to the business entity (see paragraph 0046, 0049).

- 8. As per claim 5, Takashahi et al teaches a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a database maintained by an entity in the business of providing data warehousing services (see abstract, paragraphs 0008).
- 9. As per claim 6, Takashahi et al teaches a method further comprising granting unrestricted access to the common database only to a third party named in the agreement among the business entities (see abstract, paragraphs 0008)
- 10. As per claim 7-9, Takashahi et al teaches a method where storing data in the common database includes storing the data in a scalable data warehouse having a total capacity of at least approximately one terabyte to hundreds of terabytes (see paragraphs 0047).
- 11. As per claim 10-13, Takashahi et al teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that describes the customers, the products offered, business

Art Unit: 3621

operations or a combination of consumer data, product data, and operations data ((see paragraph 0046, 0047, 0049).

- 12. As per claim 14, Takashahi et al teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes occasionally receiving new data from the business entities (see abstract, paragraphs 0008).
- 13. As per claim 15, 16, Takashahi et al teaches a method where receiving business-related data includes receiving data that is also stored in a private data warehouse maintained by one of the business entities and on behalf of one of the business entities under a data-warehouse services agreement (see paragraph 0046, 0049).
- 14. As per claim 17, Takashahi et al teaches a method, further comprising negotiating the agreement among the business entities in the group (see abstract, paragraphs 0008, 0034, 0037).
- 15. As per claim 18, Takashahi et al teaches a method use in operating a consortium among a number of distinct business entities (see abstract, paragraphs 0008), the method comprising collecting business-related data gathered by the unrelated business entities wherein the data describes on or more aspects of the operation of each of the business entity and delivering at least some of the extracted information to each of the business entities in the consortium (see paragraph 0046, 0049). Takashahi et al fails to teach negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from

Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement. However Choy teaches negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with terms of the negotiated agreement (see col. 7 line 5-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinay skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Takashahi et al's inventive concept to include Choy negotiating an agreement among the entities for pooling the collected data in a shared data warehouse, extracting information from the pooled data in accordance with

the protection specification and the access control manager, and checks whether the requested

terms of the negotiated agreement because this would have protected information entity based on

access meets conditions determined based on the protection specification and enforced by the

enhanced access control manager.

16. As per claim 19, 20, Takashahi et al teaches a method where collecting data includes pooling the data into a data warehousing system owned by a third party who does not contribute any of the pooled data but in the business of providing data warehousing services (see paragraph 0046, 0049).

17. As per claim 21-24, Takashahi et al teaches a method where negotiating the agreement includes drafting the agreement to include terms governing the types of data to be placed in the shared data warehouse, access to the shared data warehouse, the types of information that can be extracted from the shared data warehouse, providing for payment of money in exchange for

Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

services provided by a third party selected to maintain the shared data (see paragraph 0046,

Page 7

0049).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3621

June 23, 2004